

James Clayton owner of Cedar Lummerville etc?

Def { Chas. C. 1864
vs.
John & Farnsworth

James Holt & others of Colgate Park etc.

Def { Chas. C. 1864
vs.
John & Farnsworth

18.90
23d of April
This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and therewith cause jury brought John & Farnsworth Sheppell & Hill Edmund John Vose, Arnold Ferguson, Eugene Remond, Webb Pease etc
of Essex under sealed process papers calling T. Parker and Henry Lincoln who being sworn deponed he agreed of the damage in this suit upon that with enhanced a verdict in the following words to wit "Be the jury found for the plaintiff and against the defendant at \$20.00 with interest from the 25th of April 1860 subject to a credit of \$16. Dec 16. 1867." Therefore it is concurred by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages agreed as aforesaid with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of April 1860 till paid subject to the credit of fifteen dollars as of the 1st December 1867 and to wit by him about less but not less than \$4. To be taxed of the goods and chattels of the defendant in the hands of the defendant to be administered and the said defendant in attorney

otherwise and the like

S. J. Parsons who was

against

James Holt & others

The said Parsons

defendant being otherwise

concerned against the

former prior administration

plaintiff recover against

defendant judgment

to be taxed by him about \$1

Richard Darder

Def

against

Edmund Rockwell

Def

18.97
23d of April
This day came the parties by their attorney and therewith came a jury to wit John C. Dawson
et al. being elected juror and over the tenth to swear upon the oaths given before him and
sworn a verdict in the following words to wit "Be the jury found for the plaintiff and against the defendant
at \$69. to be deducted from October 29th 1868 till paid." Therefore it is concurred by the Court that
the plaintiff recover against the defendant the damages agreed as aforesaid with legal interest thereon
from October 29th of October 1868 till paid and less than about less than or this last but reported.
And the said defendant in attorney

The Commonwealth

against

Jameson Clapp

This day com-

ing and therewith com-

ing truth to speak in

"Be of the jury for

admitted day

D

John Moulton

against

Mollie J. Pitt

The defen-

plaintiff agreed

thereto and the

Richard Darder

Def

against

Edmund Brille owner James Wells etc

Def

David R. Stevens

Def

against

Holloman Aspinwall Box Jr

Def

The same

Def

against

Malott Pearce Esq Joseph W. Greeley etc

Def

against

Vick J. Daney

Def

For present appearing to the Court these causes were severally construed till the next quarterly term.

Harrison Cobb

against

James Cobb

It appears

Josiah H. Rice

Def

against

H. J. Smith

Def

Holloman James

Def

against

The same

Def

The defendant by his attorney doth he and not agree often himself etc. amiss and from the plaintiff's agreement herein have complained and of that he puts himself upon the County, and the Plaintiff

Wright H. Cobb

Deafid H. Cobb

against

A. C. Steiner

This day

returning to the

the defendant and

the 1st day of

April

1863

for